City of Minneapolis CPED - Public Land Sale

Section I. Property Information

PROJECT COORDINATORS COMPLETE SECTION I. ENTIRE FORM MUST BE COMPLETED PRIOR TO MARKETING PROPERTY. PLEASE ATTACH MAP OF SUBJECT PROPERTY AND ALL ADJACENT PARCELS

	PLEASE ATTACH MAP OF SUBJECT PROPERTY AND ALL ADJACENT PARCELS
Submitted by: Edith Johnson Phone #: 5262 Date: 7/13/2009 REVIEW TO BE COMPLETED BY:	
1.	Address: 416 22 nd Avenue North (3/29/06 on Hold) Property Identification Number (PIN): 15-029-24-23-0044
2.	Lot Size: 52 x 87 = 4,524 sq.ft.
3.	Current Use: Vacant lot
4.	Current Zoning: R2B
5.	Proposed future use (include attachments as necessary): <u>Urban Homeworks is interested in purchasing this lot to combine with 2206 6th St. N. 2200 6th St. N and 420 22nd Ave N which they own and replat parcels to full, standard city parcels for development purposes. This parcel was originally placed on hold as part of the West Broadway Alive plan.</u>
6.	List addresses of adjacent parcels owned by CPED/City:
	<u>None</u>
7.	Project Coordinator comments: There is no alley access to 2200 and 2206 6 th Street North and 420 22 nd Avenue North is very small.
PR	OJECT COORDINATOR: EMAIL FORM TO JOSEPH BERNARD@CI.MINNEAPOLIS.MN.US
Section II. Zoning Review	
8.	Lot is Buildable Non-Buildable for any structure. Explain: Single-family homes are a permitted use in the R2B district with a minimum lot area of 5,000 square feet and a minimum lot width of 40 feet. This parcel is nonconforming as to lot area, but a single-family home may be built per the lot of record exception in 531.100(a).
9.	Will any land use applications (rezoning, variances, etc) be required to achieve the proposed future use noted in item 5? Yes No I fyes, what applications? At minimum site plan review and a subdivision application will and be required to combine and replat the parcels.
10.	Comments: The subject lot is nonconforming as to lot size, therefore, 531.100 (b) of the Minneapolis Code of Ordinances specifies that if the lot were sold to an adjacent property owner that the two lots be merged into a single lot.
Col	mpleted by: <u>Aly Pennucci</u> Date: <u>7/14/2009</u>
Zoi	NING STAFF: EMAIL FORM TO JOSEPH.BERNARD@CI.MINNEAPOLIS.MN.US
Sec	ction III. Community Planning Review
11.	Adopted small area plan(s) in effect for parcel – please list:
	<u>None</u>
12.	Future land use/designation(s) identified in <i>The Minneapolis Plan</i> and other adopted plans: <u>Not in a designated land use feature</u> . In draft TMP for Sustainable Growth, property is guided Urban <u>Neighborhood</u> .
13.	Is future land use proposed in item 5 consistent with future land use plans?
	Yes ⊠ No ☐ If no why not?

City of Minneapolis CPED - Public Land Sale

(see item 6).
Yes ☐ No ☒ If yes, explain development scenario possible by combining City-owned parcels
15. Is parcel identified in adopted plans as a catalyst/essential site for future development? Yes ☐ No ⊠
If Yes, what type of development?
Comments: <u>Use of property for re-establishment of standard parcels w/ alley access is consistent w/ comp plan.</u>
Completed by: Tom Leighton Date: 7/15/2009
COMMUNITY PLANNER: EMAIL FORM TO JOSEPH.BERNARD@CI.MINNEAPOLIS.MN.US
Planning Director Review - by: <u>Barbara Sporlein</u> Date: <u>7/15/2009</u>
PLANNING DIRECTOR: EMAIL FORM TO JOSEPH.BERNARD@CI.MINNEAPOLIS.MN.US, NANCY.THURBER@CI.MINNEAPOLIS.MN.US, AND THE PROJECT COORDINATOR.
Multi-Family Housing Staff Comments – by: <u>Wes Butler</u> Date: <u>7/15/2009</u> Comments: <u>Too small for MF.</u>
Single Family Housing Staff Comments – by: <u>Elfric Porte, II.</u> Date: <u>7/15/2009</u> Comments: <u>The proposal as presented makes sense and disposition to support said effort is encouraged.</u>
Real Estate Development Services Staff Comments – by: <u>Darrell Washington</u> Date: <u>7/16/2009</u> Comments: <u>Proposed actions appear reasonable.</u>
Business Development Staff Comments – by: <u>Kristen Guild</u> Date: <u>8/5/2009</u> Comments: <u>This property is within an area discussed for assembly and redevelopment as a green jobs park.</u> <u>While these discussions are in the very preliminary stages, further assessment should be contemplated prior to selling the City-owned property for single family development.</u>
Economic Development Director Review - by: Cathy Polasky Date: 9/17/2009
PLEASE CHECK ONE BOX:
PROCEED to market the property as proposed (Project Coordinator: Contact Community Planner at the time land sale is to occur for presentation to Planning Commission)
HOLD this property for further discussion (Project Coordinator: Route a new form following staff discussion)
Housing Director Review - by: Tom Streitz, Date: 10/22/2008

City of Minneapolis CPED - Public Land Sale

EMAIL COMPLETED FORM TO JOSEPH.BERNARD@CI.MINNEAPOLIS.MN.US,

Template Revised 4/2/2008